1 Low genetic diversity in a population of Tricolored Blackbird (Agelaius tricolor), a species 2 pending Endangered status 3 4 Irene A. Liu^{1*} and Robert J. Meese² 5 6 ¹Department of Biology, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708 7 *Corresponding author: irene.a.liu@gmail.com 8 9 ²Department of Environmental Science and Policy, University of California, Davis, CA 95616 10 11 Running head: Low genetic diversity in a Tricolored Blackbird population 12

13 ABSTRACT

14 The Tricolored Blackbird (Agelaius tricolor) is a colonial songbird, found almost exclusively in 15 California, whose total population size has sharply declined over the past century. It is currently 16 under review to be listed as Endangered under both the California and U.S. Endangered Species 17 Acts. Here we assess the genetic diversity of a breeding population in California's Central 18 Valley, comparing our findings with previously sampled conspecific and congeneric populations. 19 First, we genotyped 50 adults at 9 microsatellite loci in our focal population and estimated allelic 20 and Shannon diversity, observed and expected heterozygosity, and the inbreeding coefficient 21 (FIS). Second, we compared our results to those of the one existing study on Tricolored Blackbird 22 conservation genetics and found that levels of allelic diversity and heterozygosity in our focal 23 population were similar to those of 11 previously studied populations. Unlike the earlier study, 24 which found moderately high mean inbreeding coefficients, we detected no evidence of 25 inbreeding in our focal population. Third, we used 7 of the 9 loci to compare the genetic 26 diversity of our focal population with populations of 2 previously sampled *Agelaius* congeners. 27 We found that allelic diversity, Shannon diversity, and expected heterozygosity in our Tricolored 28 Blackbird population were most similar to those of an isolated Red-winged Blackbird (A. 29 *phoeniceus*) population in the Bahamas. We discuss possible reasons for the different results 30 from the conspecific study, outline why the collective findings from both studies support the 31 need for protective measures, and urge conservation action to maintain existing genetic diversity 32 and gene flow before ongoing population losses lead to adverse fitness consequences. 33

Keywords: Endangered Species Act, inbreeding, genetic diversity, heterozygosity, Tricolored
Blackbird, *Agelaius*

36 INTRODUCTION

37

38 The Tricolored Blackbird (Agelaius tricolor) is a songbird that nests in colonies restricted to 39 lower-elevation locations of California, with small remnant populations in Oregon, northern Baja 40 California, and western Nevada and Washington (Meese et al. 2014). Once abundant throughout 41 California's Central Valley, this species has declined sharply in total population size over the last 42 century and especially in recent decades (Beedy 2008; Meese et al. 2014; Meese 2015). Colonies 43 of 100,000 or more Tricolored Blackbirds were common from the 1920s to 2006 (Neff 1937; 44 Orians 1961; Payne 1969; Meese 2006), but in 2013 and 2014 the average size of the largest 45 colony was ~25,000 birds (Meese 2015). While different census and documentation methods 46 make cross-study estimates of total population size difficult to compare (Meese 2014), within-47 study surveys report steady and steep declines (e.g., 1930s-1970s, DeHaven et al. 1975; 1992-48 2002, Cook and Toft 2005). Standard estimation methods implemented in 2008 showed that 49 statewide population estimates of Tricolored Blackbirds fell from 400,000 in 2008 to 145,000 50 birds in 2014 (Meese 2014).

These declines are attributed to 2 primary causes: the widespread loss of the Tricolored Blackbird's native wetland habitat (estimated at a 96% loss over the last 150 years, Kreissman 1991) to agriculture and urbanization (Beedy 2008; Meese et al. 2014), and the species' switch to nesting in active grain fields that are harvested as part of normal agricultural operations, which has resulted over several decades in complete losses of many of the largest colonies. Because of land-use changes and fragmentation of suitable habitat, breeding site occupancy of Tricolored Blackbirds has declined 3 times as quickly as sites have been recruited (Holyoak et al. 2014).

58	Additional factors include low insect abundance and the predominance of a grain diet in
59	grain-field-nesting colonies, leading to inadequate nutrition and decreased reproductive success
60	(Meese 2013). Low insect abundance may be related to the widespread use of pesticides,
61	especially of neonicotinoids, which are applied to croplands surrounding nesting colonies and
62	can significantly impact insectivorous bird populations (Hallmann et al. 2014). Moreover,
63	predation levels often are high and can be human-mediated, such as when lowering of water
64	levels in managed wetlands enables access by terrestrial predators (Beedy 2008). Post-breeding
65	birds foraging in rice fields also are shot when in mixed-species flocks containing the
66	morphologically similar Red-winged Blackbird (A. phoeniceus), which is permitted to be killed
67	as an agricultural pest in California (Meese 2015). Alongside all of these threats, the species'
68	colonial nature means that it is prone to acute and large-scale losses.
69	In response to such threats, the Tricolored Blackbird was recognized as Endangered by
70	the IUCN Red List in 2006. In California, the blackbird has been listed as a Species of Special
71	Concern since 1990. The California Department of Fish and Wildlife granted the species
72	emergency protection under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA) in December 2014
73	but 6 months later declined to renew these protections. Following a petition re-submission
74	(Belenky and Bond 2015), as of December 2015 the species is again under protection and has
75	advanced to candidacy to be listed under the CESA. Federally, the status of the Tricolored
76	Blackbird is currently under review for possible listing under the U.S. Endangered Species Act
77	(ESA).
78	Despite decades of study on the Tricolored Blackbird's demography and natural history,
79	limited data exist for the species' genetic profile. To our knowledge, 2 studies have profiled

80 molecular patterns in Tricolored Blackbirds. The first study was a conservation genetics analysis

81 using data from 8 microsatellites and 2 mitochondrial genes (Berg et al. 2010). The authors 82 found evidence of gene flow within and across 7 populations in the Central Valley, sampled from 83 2001-2005, and 4 in southern California, sampled from 2007-2008. Both regions additionally 84 had moderately high mean inbreeding coefficients ($F_{IS} = 0.121$ and 0.090, respectively). Because 85 of the lack of population structure, the authors concluded that Tricolored Blackbirds in different 86 areas did not need to be considered as separate management units. The second study (Barker et 87 al. 2012) used mitochondrial DNA from 10 Tricolored Blackbirds from a southern California 88 population and 31 Red-winged Blackbirds from multiple sources to compare information content 89 in ND2 vs. the control region. Although the study's intent was to contrast evolutionary patterns 90 in coding vs. non-coding regions, the results nevertheless revealed that Tricolored Blackbirds 91 had lower genetic diversity than Red-winged Blackbirds. 92 Here we use microsatellite data to estimate levels of genetic diversity and inbreeding in a 93 separate breeding population in the Central Valley. Because of the limited inference that can be 94 drawn from a single-population study, we present our results alongside previously obtained 95 profiles of conspecific and congeneric populations. We compare our estimates of allelic 96 diversity, observed and expected heterozygosity, and the inbreeding coefficient with those in 97 Berg et al. (2010)'s conservation genetics analysis of 11 Tricolored Blackbird populations. We 98 also compare allelic diversity, Shannon diversity, and expected heterozygosity with previously 99 studied populations of 2 Agelaius congeners, Red-winged Blackbirds (A. phoeniceus) and 100 Yellow-Shouldered Blackbirds, (A. xanthomus), that span a gradient of genetic diversity (Liu 101 2015; Liu et al. 2015). 102

103 METHODS

104

105 Field Sampling

106 We collected blood samples from 8 males and 42 females from 42 nests in a colony breeding in a 107 manmade cattail pond on the Conaway Ranch, Woodland, CA (coordinates: 38°38'49.2"N, 108 121°42'07.2"W). Sampling took place from June 5 to 17, 2013. Except for 2 males caught 109 opportunistically outside the pond, adults were captured using walk-in traps placed over their 110 nests. This method was the only way to identify individuals to their territories. Territory could 111 not be assigned by behavior, as adults were closely spaced and flushed collectively from their 112 nests when an observer approached. The low number of captured males is due to their lower 113 provisioning rates and, when they did approach the nest, their greater aversion to entering traps 114 (I.A. Liu personal observation). 115 Sampling methods followed Liu et al. (2015): Adults were bled from the brachial vein 116 using sterile 26G 9 ½ in. BD PrecisionGlide needles, blood was collected onto Whatman FTA 117 bloodstain cards treated with 1 M EDTA, and adults were banded with USFWS and color bands. 118

119 **DNA Extraction and Genotyping**

We genotyped all individuals at 9 microsatellite loci (Table S1) following DNA amplification
with the PCR profile described in Liu (2015). Of these loci, 5 were derived from Red-winged
Blackbird (Barker et al. 2011) and verified not to show ascertainment bias relative to the other 4
loci, originally identified in more distantly related species (Liu 2015). Plates were processed at
Eton Bioscience Inc., and genotypes were scored with GeneMarker 1.8 (SoftGenetics) using size
standard GS-500.

126	Homozygous alleles were genotyped at least twice to account for allelic dropout. We
127	further checked for dropout and null alleles using Micro-Checker 2.0 (Van Oosterhout et al.
128	2004) for all but 4 loci (LTMR6, Ap107, Ap144, and Dpµ16), which had irregular alleles outside
129	the base-pair lengths expected from the motif. We tested all loci for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium
130	and pairwise linkage disequilibrium using Genepop (Raymond and Rousset 1995).
131	
132	Genetic Diversity
133	We first used GenAlEx 6.501 (Peakall and Smouse 2012) to calculate mean allelic diversity,
134	Shannon diversity, observed and expected heterozygosity, and the inbreeding coefficient (the
135	fixation index F_{IS}) for all loci for the 50 adults. Values reported are mean \pm SE.
136	Next, we compared measures of genetic diversity between the Conaway Ranch
137	Tricolored Blackbird population and the 11 Tricolored Blackbird populations in Berg et al.
138	(2010), particularly because only one locus (Dpµ16) was shared between the 2 studies. We
139	performed an ANOVA with raw allelic diversity and the observed and expected heterozygosity
140	per locus across all 3 groups (the Conaway Ranch population, 4 southern California populations,
141	and 7 Central Valley populations) sampled in the present study and in Berg et al. (2010).
142	Third, we compared the genetic diversity of the Conaway Ranch Tricolored Blackbird
143	population with that of Agelaius congeners, using 3 populations of Red-winged Blackbirds and
144	one population of Yellow-shouldered Blackbirds. This comparison required us to use a subset of
145	the genotypes in the current study, because only 7 loci (LTMR6, Qm10, Dpµ16, Pca3, Ap79,
146	Ap107, and Ap144) had been used to genotype all populations. Of the 2 remaining loci, Ap38
147	had not been analyzed in Red-winged Blackbirds, and Ap146 was not polymorphic in Yellow-
148	shouldered Blackbirds.

149	The 3 Red-winged Blackbird populations comprised 2 continental populations and one
150	island population. As continental populations are genetically indistinguishable from each other
151	(Ball et al. 1988; Liu et al. 2015), we used the 2 populations in Liu et al. (2015) with the largest
152	sample sizes (Pennsylvania and Michigan, sampled by I.A.L. in 2005 and S. Lüpold in 2009,
153	respectively). These populations have the highest levels of genetic diversity and effective
154	population sizes of all the reference populations (Pennsylvania, $N_e \sim 430$; Michigan, $N_e \sim infinity$,
155	either due to sampling error or a truly large population size not experiencing loss of
156	heterozygosity) (Liu 2015). The third population was a sedentary population of Red-winged
157	Blackbirds on Grand Bahama Island, sampled in 2011, which had lower genetic diversity and a
158	lower effective population size ($N_e \sim 300$) than the continental populations (Liu et al. 2015; Liu
159	2015). The population of Yellow-shouldered Blackbirds, an endangered island endemic, was
160	sampled in Puerto Rico in 2012. This population had the lowest genetic diversity and effective
161	population size ($N_e \sim 70$) of all the reference populations (Liu 2015).
162	We used the bootstrap resampling approach described in Liu et al. (2015) to estimate
163	sample-size-adjusted population allelic diversity, Shannon diversity, and expected heterozygosity
164	across the 5 populations. For each population, we used custom scripts in R 3.1.3 (J. Johndrow
165	personal communication; R Core Team 2015) to take 1000 resamples and calculate the 3
166	measures for each resample. The populations with the smallest sample sizes were the Conaway
167	Ranch Tricolored Blackbird population ($n = 50$) and the Michigan Red-winged Blackbird
168	population ($n = 51$). Therefore, we used a resample size of 48 individuals so that estimates of
169	uncertainty could be generated for all populations. Values reported are mean \pm SD. We used
170	Tukey HSD tests to detect significant pairwise differences across the 5 populations. We then
171	calculated the P value as the proportion out of 1000 iterations for which genetic diversity or

expected heterozygosity in the Conaway Ranch Tricolored Blackbird population was highest ofall populations.

174	We performed an additional analysis with the cross-species data set using the
175	jackmsatpop function of the R package PopGenKit 1.0 (Paquette 2012) to generate a rarefaction
176	curve of mean raw allelic diversity. This function uses jackknife resampling to measure the
177	number of sampled alleles for a given constant increase in sample size for each population.
178	Although the curves do not give statistical information, they show whether sampling was
179	sufficient to capture population allelic diversity. For each population, we ran 100 repetitions
180	using a stepwise increase of one individual up to that population's sample size of adults, as in
181	Liu et al. (2015).
182	
183	RESULTS
184	
185	Microsatellite Quality
186	Micro-Checker found no evidence for null alleles or dropout in any of the 5 loci tested. Genepop
187	detected linkage disequilibrium between Dpµ16 and Pca3, but the association did not remain
188	significant after a Bonferroni correction. All loci were found not to deviate significantly from
189	Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ($P > 0.05$).
190	

191 Genetic Diversity

192 The GenAlEx-derived measurements of genetic diversity for the Conaway Ranch Tricolored

193 Blackbird population are shown in Table 1A. Raw allelic diversity of the 9 loci in the Conaway

194 Ranch Tricolored Blackbird population was similar to that of the 8 loci used in the southern

195 California and Central Valley Tricolored Blackbird populations in Berg et al. (2010) ($F_{2,22} = 0.3$, 196 P = 0.71, Table 2). Likewise, the 2 sets of loci did not differ significantly in observed or 197 expected heterozygosity (H_o: $F_{2,22} = 2.1$, P = 0.14; H_e: $F_{2,22} = 0.6$, P = 0.57). Thus, genetic 198 diversity was similar across Tricolored Blackbird populations, despite the use of different loci in 199 the present study and Berg et al. (2010). 200 GenAlEx- and bootstrap-derived summaries of genetic diversity for the 5 Agelaius 201 populations in the cross-species analysis are shown in Table 1B and Table 3, respectively. The 202 bootstrap found that, out of 1000 resamples, in no iteration did the Conaway Ranch Tricolored 203 Blackbird population have the greatest allelic diversity, Shannon diversity, or expected 204 heterozygosity relative to the other populations (P < 0.001, Table 2, Figure 1A). Instead, the 205 continental Red-winged Blackbird populations consistently had the highest values. The profile of 206 the Conaway Ranch Tricolored Blackbird population was most similar to that of the Bahamas 207 Red-winged Blackbird population, although the former had significantly higher values for all 208 measures (P for all pairwise comparisons < 0.01, Figure 1B). Lowest for all measures was the 209 Yellow-shouldered Blackbird, which is expected given the low effective population size and 210 endangered status of the species (Liu 2015). These rankings were supported by the rarefaction 211 curve, which predicted that raw allelic diversity in the Conaway Ranch Tricolored Blackbird 212 population approached a plateau above those of the Bahamas Red-winged Blackbird and Yellow-213 shouldered Blackbird populations but well below the plateau of the continental Red-winged 214 Blackbird populations (Figure 1B).

215

216 Inbreeding coefficient

217	The mean inbreeding coefficient (F _{IS}) for the Conaway Ranch Tricolored Blackbird population
218	was negative ($F_{IS} = -0.03$, Table 3). This result contrasted with the moderately high inbreeding
219	coefficients for the southern California and Central Valley Tricolored Blackbird populations
220	reported in Berg et al. (2010) ($F_{IS} = 0.121$ and 0.090, respectively).
221	
222	DISCUSSION
223	
224	The Tricolored Blackbird has experienced severe declines in total population size over the last
225	century due to destruction of native habitats and large-scale, chronic nesting failures (Beedy
226	2008; Meese 2013; Meese et al. 2014). The species is currently under review to be listed as
227	Endangered under both the California and U.S. Endangered Species Acts. Our analysis revealed
228	that the genetic diversity of a Central Valley population is comparable to that of a small, isolated
229	island population of the related Red-winged Blackbird. Because of this finding, the results in our
230	study and in Berg et al. (2010) collectively support both state and federal protection of the
231	Tricolored Blackbird to maintain existing gene flow and genetic diversity.
232	
233	Low Genetic Diversity
234	We recognize that a single-population study yields limited insight into the conservation measures
235	required for a whole species. Such studies nevertheless provide valuable data by complementing
236	existing demographic literature and enabling policymakers to better assess the degree and
237	immediacy of the threats facing a species. To maximize the useful inferences that can be drawn
238	from our findings, we frame our results relative to estimates reported in other Tricolored
239	Blackbird populations and in populations of Agelaius congeners. We also discuss our results in

the context of known extinction risk factors and recommend future work, stemming from ourstudy, whose findings will be informative for managers.

We found that the genetic diversity of the Conaway Ranch Tricolored Blackbird 242 243 population is more similar to that of a small, non-migratory Red-winged Blackbird population in 244 the Bahamas than to Red-winged Blackbird populations in the continental U.S. By extension, 245 this relatively low diversity appears to be shared across all studied populations, given that the 246 genetic profile of the Conaway Ranch population was similar to that of the 11 populations in 247 Berg et al. (2010) (Table 2). It is possible that the Tricolored Blackbird's genetic diversity 248 always has been comparably low, because its narrow geographic range might have imposed 249 upper limits on its total population size and thus its genetic diversity (e.g., Frankham 1997; 250 Hague and Routman 2016). Genotypes from historical specimens collected over different time 251 points (which Berg et al. (2010) report are available for this species dating back to 1861) would 252 be helpful to determine whether genetic diversity previously approached that of continental Red-253 winged Blackbird populations. Paired with census data, historical specimens also would be 254 useful in testing the relationship between genetic diversity and population size and connectivity 255 (e.g., Pacioni et al. 2015). At present, the concern is that a population of a species historically 256 known for its abundance (Neff 1937) currently displays levels of genetic diversity resembling 257 that of an isolated Agelaius blackbird population.

Genetic effects of range limits potentially can be buffered by connectivity between colonies (e.g., Castellanos-Morales et al. 2015). Indeed, a promising finding is that Tricolored Blackbird populations currently do not seem to be isolated, as no structure was found within or across southwest California and Central Valley populations (Berg et al. 2010). Precise rates of migration between specific populations are unknown, but a limited number of recaptures and

263 sightings of banded birds reveal that small numbers of birds move between southern California 264 and the Central Valley (R.J. Meese personal observation). It is not clear whether this movement 265 is facilitated by habitat connectivity between colonies, or whether individuals are simply able to 266 fly over unsuitable habitat en route to a different colony. However, evidence of dwindling site 267 occupancy and recruitment due to habitat loss (Holyoak et al. 2014) suggests migration may 268 become more difficult with increasing or prohibitive distances between colonies. Thus, 269 continuing habitat loss could lead (directly or indirectly) to population fragmentation across the 270 Tricolored Blackbird's range, accelerating declines in genetic diversity due to absolute colony 271 loss. Given the already high rates of population size reduction and the risk of further decreases in 272 genetic diversity, existing gene flow across colonies will be critical to monitor and preserve. 273 In comparing sequence-level differences between 10 Tricolored and 31 continental Red-274 winged blackbirds, Barker et al. (2012) found Tricolored Blackbirds had less polymorphic 275 mtDNA, according to summary statistics such as nucleotide diversity (π) and Watterson's 276 estimator (θ). Although different methods (summary statistics vs. likelihood and Bayesian 277 analyses) led to different inferences of the demographic history of these species, DNA-sequence 278 polymorphisms were consistently lower in Tricolored Blackbirds. These results align with the 279 microsatellite data in showing that contemporary Tricolored Blackbirds are less genetically 280 diverse than continental populations of the closely related Red-winged Blackbird.

281

282 Low Inbreeding Coefficient

In contrast to the findings of Berg et al. (2010) across 11 Tricolored Blackbird populations, we did not find evidence of inbreeding in the Conaway Ranch population. These disparate results could be due to temporal variation in inbreeding caused by differences in demography or mate

286 choice. For example, inbreeding coefficients could be higher in the other populations because 287 those populations contained more related individuals or dispersal across them was more limited. 288 As a result, Conaway Ranch as a single population may have had lower measures of inbreeding 289 than the mean values found for the southern California and Central Valley populations. 290 Alternatively, because signatures of inbreeding (namely loss of heterozygosity) require multiple 291 generations to manifest, inbreeding in the Conaway Ranch population may not have been 292 immediately apparent if losses in this colony were recent. 293 Our findings suggest that individuals in the Conaway Ranch population are, on average, 294 not breeding with close relatives. However, the recorded decline in census population size, 295 combined with the relatively low levels of genetic diversity, signal that inbreeding and its 296 accompanying fitness consequences remain risks both to the Conaway Ranch and the other 297 surveyed populations. In addition to the yearly censuses already in place, we recommend that 298 further measures of inbreeding coefficients be taken to reconcile the calculations in the 2 studies, 299 and that longitudinal surveys of specific fitness components (e.g., offspring viability, first-year 300 survival, and reproductive success) be conducted in selected populations to evaluate the presence 301 of inbreeding depression. Moreover, the larger sample sizes obtained from these measurements 302 could give informative estimates of effective population size—which our smaller data set was 303 unable to provide—and thus predict the risk of mutation and genetic load (Lynch et al. 1995). 304 Support granted by legal protections would help enable these more intensive surveys and provide 305 the opportunity to test whether survival and/or fecundity have been affected by habitat loss and 306 population size decline. 307

308 Conservation Implications

309 The results in our study and in Berg et al. (2010) support the need for legal protections of the 310 Tricolored Blackbird. Current losses of breeding and foraging habitat, long-term destruction of 311 some of the largest colonies in grain fields, incidental shooting, and elevated rates of predation 312 and pesticide exposure have led to rapid and ongoing reductions in total population size. The 313 blackbird's restricted range and decreased genetic diversity may accelerate the effects of drift, 314 preventing immediate fitness recovery while also weakening the species' ability to respond over 315 time to environmental change (Lande 1988; Reed and Frankham 2003). 316 Additionally, the blackbird's colonial nature increases its vulnerability both to large-scale 317 losses and to density-dependent social effects (i.e. Allee effects). Colony size is a predictor of 318 adult survival in Tricolored Blackbirds (Weintraub and George 2012) and, in other birds, 319 correlates positively with dispersal and negatively with predation (Serrano et al. 2005; but see 320 Brown et al. 2016). The 75% decrease in the size of the largest colony over the last decades 321 suggests colonies could be at risk for demographic instability if they are not adapted to living in 322 present-day group sizes. Together, all of the above factors expose the Tricolored Blackbird to 323 greater risk of extinction than related species (such as the Red-winged Blackbird) with large 324 ranges and population sizes, non-colonial life histories, and multiple sources of genetic variation. 325 The well-documented population decline and the comparatively low genetic diversity 326 reported here indicate that protections provided by a listing under the ESA and CESA are 327 warranted. These further protections would help ensure effective conservation actions by 328 providing management plans, legal enforcement, and federal aid to fill gaps in scientific knowledge, some of which have been outlined here. The immediacy and severity of the threats 329 330 facing the Tricolored Blackbird, coupled with the genetic data presented here, justify further

331 candidate assessment and additional legal protections.

332

333 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

334

335 We thank Steve Nowicki and Mohamed Noor at Duke University for their guidance and the use 336 of lab space, and Jill Soha for her comments on the manuscript. We are grateful to Mike Hall for 337 his generous support of scientific research at the Conaway Ranch. Field protocols were approved 338 by Duke University's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and all relevant local and 339 national institutions. Fieldwork was conducted in compliance with the Guidelines to the Use of 340 Wild Birds in Research. This work was funded by a National Science Foundation Dissertation 341 Improvement Grant (award no. IOS-1110782), a Sigma Delta Epsilon Fellowship from Graduate 342 Women in Science, and the Office of the Provost at Duke University to I.A.L. Funders had no 343 input into the content of the manuscript, nor was funder approval required of the manuscript 344 before submission or publication. I.A.L. conceived the idea, collected the data, performed the 345 analyses, and wrote the paper. R.J.M. contributed expertise in Tricolored Blackbird biology, 346 provided guidance in the field, and edited the paper.

348 LITERATURE CITED

- 349 Ball, R. M., S. Freeman, F. C. James, E. Bermingham, and J. C. Avise (1988). Phylogeographic
- 350 population structure of red-winged blackbirds assessed by mitochondrial DNA.
- 351 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 85:1558-1562.
- 352 Barker, F. K., J. J. Bell, S. M. Bogdanowicz, S. L. Bonatto, F. Cezilly, S. M. Collins, C.
- 353 Dubreuil, M. J. Dufort, C. Eraud, R. Fuseya, E. A. Heap, et al. (2011). Permanent genetic
- resources added to Molecular Ecology Resources Database 1 June 2011–31 July 2011.
- 355 Molecular Ecology Resources 11:1124-1126.
- 356 Barker, F. K., M. K. Benesh, A. J. Vandergon, and S. M. Lanyon (2012). Contrasting
- evolutionary dynamics and information content of the avian mitochondrial control region
 and ND2 gene. PLoS ONE 7:e46403.
- 359 Beedy, E. C. (2008). Tricolored blackbird. In California bird species of special concern: A
- 360 ranked assessment of species, subspecies, and distinct populations of birds of immediate
- 361 conservation concern in California, vol. 1 (W. D. Shuford and T. Gardalis, Editors).
- 362 Western Field Ornithologists, Camarillo, CA, and California Department of Fish and
- 363 Game, Sacramento, CA, pp. 437-443.
- Belenky, L., and M. Bond (2015). A petition to list the tricolored blackbird (*Agelaius tricolor*) as
 Endangered under the California Endangered Species Act and request for emergency
 action to protect the species. Center for Biological Diversity, Oakland, CA.
- 367 Berg, E. C., J. P. Pollinger, and T. B. Smith (2010). Population structure in the tricolored
- 368 blackbird (*Agelaius tricolor*) in California: are northern and southern populations
- 369 genetically distinct? California Department of Fish and Game, Nongame Wildlife
- 370 Program Report 2010-05, Sacramento, CA, and Audubon California, Emeryville, CA.

371	Brown, C. R., M. B. Brown, E. A. Roche, V. A. O'Brien, and C. E. Page (2016). Fluctuating
372	survival selection explains variation in avian group size. Proceedings of the National
373	Academy of Sciences, USA 113:5113-5118.
374	Castellanos-Morales, G., J. Ortega, R. A. Castillo-Gámez, L. C. Sackett, and L. E. Eguiarte
375	(2015). Genetic variation and structure in contrasting geographic distributions:
376	widespread versus restricted black-tailed prairie dogs (Subgenus Cynomys). Journal of
377	Heredity 106:478-490.
378	Cook, L. F., and C. A. Toft (2005). Dynamics of extinction: population decline in the colonially
379	nesting Tricolored Blackbird Agelaius tricolor. Bird Conservation International 15:73-88
380	DeHaven, R. W., F. T. Crase, and P. P. Woronecki (1975). Breeding status of the tricolored
381	blackbird, 1969-1972. California Fish and Game 61:166-180.
382	Frankham, R. (1997). Do island populations have less genetic variation than mainland
383	populations? Heredity 78:311-327.
384	Hague, M. T. J., and E. J. Routman (2016). Does population size affect genetic diversity? A test
385	with sympatric lizard species. Heredity 116:92-98.
386	Hallmann, C. A., R. P. B. Foppen, C. A. M. van Turnhout, H. de Kroon, and E. Jongejans
387	(2014). Declines in insectivorous birds are associated with high neonicotinoid
388	concentrations. Nature 511:341-343.
389	Holyoak, M., R. J. Meese, and E. E. Graves (2014). Combining site occupancy, breeding
390	population sizes and reproductive success to calculate time-averaged reproductive output
391	of different habitat types: an application to tricolored blackbirds. PLoS ONE 9:e96980.
392	Kreissman, B. (1991). California: an environmental atlas and guide. Bear Klaw Press, Davis,
393	CA.

394	Lande, R.	(1988).	Genetics and	demogra	aphy ii	n biological	conservation.	Science 24	11:1455-1460.
		· /		2,5		2)			

- 395 Liu, I. A. (2015). Conservation genetics and genetic mating system of the endangered yellow-
- 396 shouldered blackbird (*Agelaius xanthomus*). Conservation Genetics 16:1041-1053.
- 397 Liu, I. A., J. Johndrow, J. Abe, S. Lüpold, K. Yasukawa, D. F. Westneat, and S. Nowicki (2015).
- 398 Genetic diversity does not explain variation in extra-pair paternity in multiple populations
 399 of a songbird. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 28:1156-1169.
- 400 Lynch, M., J. Conery, and R. Burger (1995). Mutation accumulation and the extinction of small
 401 populations. The American Naturalist 146:489-518.
- 402 Meese, R. J. (2006). Settlement and breeding colony characteristics of tricolored blackbirds in
- 403 2006 in the Central Valley of California. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Sacramento,
- 404 CA, and Audubon California, Emeryville, CA.
- 405 Meese, R. J. (2013). Chronic low reproductive success of the colonial tricolored blackbird from
 406 2006 to 2011. Western Birds 44:98-113.
- 407 Meese, R. J. (2014). Efforts to assess the status of the tricolored blackbird from 1931 to 2014.
- 408 Central Valley Birding Club Bulletin 17:37-50.
- 409 Meese, R. J. (2015). Detection, monitoring, and fates of tricolored blackbird colonies in
- 410 California in 2015. California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Wildlife Branch,
- 411 Nongame Wildlife Program Report 2015-03, Sacramento, CA.
- 412 Meese, R. J., E. C. Beedy, and W. Hamilton, J. III (2014). Tricolored Blackbird (Agelaius
- 413 *tricolor*). In The Birds of North America Online (A. Poole, Editor). Cornell Lab of
- 414 Ornithology, Ithaca, NY. <u>http://bna.birds.cornell.edu/bna/species/423</u>
- 415 Neff, J. A. (1937). Nesting distribution of the Tri-colored Red-wing. Condor 39:61-81.

- 416 Orians, G. H. (1961). The ecology of blackbird (*Agelaius*) social systems. Ecological
- 417 Monographs 31:285-312.
- 418 Pacioni, C., H. Hunt, M. E. Allentoft, T. G. Vaughan, A. F. Wayne, A. Baynes, D. Haouchar, J.
- 419 Dortch, and M. Bunce (2015). Genetic diversity loss in a biodiversity hotspot: ancient
- 420 DNA quantifies genetic decline and former connectivity in a critically endangered
- 421 marsupial. Molecular Ecology 24:5813-5828.
- 422 Paquette, S. R. (2012). PopGenKit: useful functions for (batch) file conversion and data
- 423 resampling in microsatellite databases. R package version 1.0. <u>https://cran.r-</u>
- 424 project.org/web/packages/PopGenKit/index.html
- 425 Payne, R. B. (1969). Breeding seasons and reproductive physiology of Tricolored Blackbirds and

426 Redwinged Blackbirds. University of California Publications in Zoology 90:1-137.

427 Peakall, R., and P. E. Smouse (2012). GenAlEx 6.5: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic

428 software for teaching and research-an update. Bioinformatics 28:2537-2539.

- R Core Team (2015). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Raymond, M., and F. Rousset (1995). GENEPOP (Version 1.2): population genetics software for
 exact tests and ecumenicism. Journal of Heredity 86:248-249.
- 433 Reed, D. H., and R. Frankham (2003). Correlation between fitness and genetic diversity.
- 434 Conservation Biology 17:230-237.
- 435 Serrano, D., D. Oro, E. Ursúa, and J. L. Tella (2005). Colony size selection determines adult
- 436 survival and dispersal preferences: Allee effects in a colonial bird. American Naturalist
- 437 166:E22-E31.

- 438 Van Oosterhout, C., W. F. Hutchinson, D. P. M. Wills, and P. Shipley (2004). MICRO-
- 439 CHECKER: software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite
- 440 data. Molecular Ecology Notes 4:535-538.
- 441 Weintraub, K., and T. L. George (2012). Nest survival of tricolored blackbirds in California's
- 442 San Joaquin Valley: 2011 annual report. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Sacramento, CA.



Figure 1. (A) Genetic diversity estimated from bootstrap simulations using 7 microsatellite loci
across 3 species. "RWBL_cont" refers to the mean measures of 2 continental (Michigan and
Pennsylvania) Red-winged Blackbird populations. "RWBL Bah" refers to a Bahamas Red-

- 454 winged Blackbird population. "YSBL" refers to a Yellow-shouldered Blackbird population. The
- 455 Conaway Ranch Tricolored Blackbird population (TRBL CR) has significantly less genetic
- 456 diversity than the continental populations of Red-winged Blackbirds and similar diversity to the
- 457 Bahamas population of Red-winged Blackbirds. (B) Rarefaction curve, using mean raw allelic
- 458 diversity of 7 microsatellite loci, showing the genetic diversity of the Conaway Ranch Tricolored
- 459 Blackbird population (black circles) relative to other *Agelaius* species. The Conaway Ranch
- 460 Tricolored Blackbird population approaches a maximum similar to that of the Bahamas Red-
- 461 winged Blackbirds population (gray vertical lines).
- 462

463	Tables									
464										
465	Table 1. GenAlEx measures of sample size (N) and means for raw (N_a) and effective (N_{ea})									
466	number of alleles, Shannon diversity index (I), observed (Ho) and expected (He) heterozygosity,									
467	and inbreeding coefficient (F _{IS}) for (A) 9 microsatellite loci in Conaway Ranch Tricolored									
468	Blackbirds (TRBL_CR), and (B) 7 loci in Michigan, Pennsylvania, and Bahamas Red-winged									
469	Blackbirds (RWBL); Conaway Ranch Tricolored Blackbirds; and Yellow-shouldered Blackbirds									
470	(YSBL). Numbers in parentheses are standard error.									
471										
472	(A)									
		Ν	Na	N _{ea}	Ι	Ho	He	FIS		
	TRBL_CR	50	9.89	4.82	1.68	0.76	0.74	-0.03		
			(1.34)	(0.82)	(0.16)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.02)		
473										
474	(B)									
		Ν	N_a	N _{ea}	Ι	Ho	He	F _{IS}		
	RWBL_MI	51	18.71	10.21	2.46	0.83	0.88	0.057		
			(2.79)	(1.83)	(0.18)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.025)		
	RWBL_PA	60	19.57	10.11	2.46	0.87	0.88	0.012		
			(3.19)	(1.91)	(0.19)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.021)		
	RWBL_Bah	66	9.57	5.38	1.64	0.71	0.72	0.011		
			(2.81)	(1.57)	(0.28)	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.02)		

TRBL_CR	50	10.29	4.71	1.68	0.74	0.73	-0.013
		(1.41)	(0.97)	(0.18)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.026)
YSBL	63	6.14	4.13	1.36	0.66	0.66	0.011
		(1.75)	(1.07)	(0.25)	(0.07)	(0.06)	(0.019)

476	Table 2. Raw allelic diversity (N_a) and observed (H_o) and expected (H_e) heterozygosity of
477	different Tricolored Blackbird populations, using 9 microsatellite loci in the Conaway Ranch
478	population ($n = 50$) and 8 loci in southern California ($n = 95, 4$ populations) and Central Valley
479	(n = 122, 7 populations). All data were calculated in GenAlEx. Data for the southern California
480	and Central Valley populations are used with permission and unmodified from Table 3 in Berg et
481	al. (2010). Dpµ16 is the single locus used in both studies.

	Conaway Ranch				Southern California			Central Valley		
Locus	Na	Ho	He	Locus	Na	Ho	He	Na	Ho	He
LTMR6	9	0.600	0.657	Ase18	15	0.681	0.748	12	0.694	0.676
Dpµ16	10	0.820	0.741	Dpµ16	13	0.630	0.799	11	0.610	0.721
Pca3	9	0.640	0.605	Dpµ1	3	0.189	0.223	2	0.180	0.178
Qm10	9	0.760	0.807	QmAAT10	8	0.784	0.794	7	0.694	0.738
Ap38	4	0.720	0.670	Gf12	18	0.843	0.908	17	0.873	0.911
Ap79	6	0.600	0.581	Lswµ7	5	0.374	0.514	3	0.344	0.485
Ap107	18	0.880	0.891	Gf5	10	0.533	0.630	5	0.504	0.573
Ap144	11	0.900	0.856	Dca32	11	0.778	0.855	11	0.762	0.846
Ap146	13	0.920	0.864							
Mean	9.89	0.760	0.741		10.38	0.602	0.684	8.50	0.583	0.641

484 Table 3. Bootstrap-derived measures of mean allelic diversity, Shannon diversity (I), and

485 expected heterozygosity (He) across 7 microsatellite loci in 3 Agelaius species, using a resample

486 size of 48 in each of 1000 iterations. Numbers in parentheses are standard deviation. See Table 1

487 for explanation of abbreviations.

488

	Ν	Allelic	Ι	He
		diversity		
RWBL_MI	51	16.04	2.36	0.87
		(0.56)	(0.036)	(0.007)
RWBL_PA	60	16.25	2.35	0.87
		(0.65)	(0.036)	(0.006)
RWBL_Bah	66	8.47	1.59	0.71
		(0.31)	(0.030)	(0.012)
TRBL_CR	50	9.03	1.63	0.73
		(0.4)	(0.036)	(0.011)
YSBL	63	5.79	1.33	0.66
		(0.18)	(0.023)	(0.011)